

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,414	03/03/2004	Jing Xiang	NRT.0124US	2562	
27820 WITHROW &	7590 06/27/201 TERRANOVA, P.L.L	EXAMINER			
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE			TABOR, AMARE F		
SUITE 160 CARY, NC 27	518	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2434		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,414	XIANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMARE F. TABOR	2434	

	AMARE F. TABOR	2434					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued as 7 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Of Constitution of Non-Con		OTOL 204)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npliant Amendment (r	-10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11.12.14.17.20-25.27 and 28.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hefere or on the date of filling a Nic	tion of Annual will not	he entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant angues that, "Joseph does not disclose the same secure connection between the first and third device." REM, p.6 Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that claim 10 does not recite "the same secure connection" either. Examiner respectfully notes that, it is not logically possible for the two connections to be "the same". Additionally and as best understood from the disclosure of the invention [FIG.5 and paragraph 30 (FGHub)], "the secure connection" between the first and second network elements [which is Tunnel 54] is not the same as the earlier established "[3] secure connection" between the first and second network element [Tunnel 52]. Thus, examiner understands the term "secure connection" as being the connection between new flements being "secure"; and therefore, applicant's arguments are unpersuasive, because Joseph explicitly teaches the connection between newtork elements as "secure communication" (see last connections disclosed in FIG. 1]. As for the third network element as "secure communication" (see last connections disclosed in FIG. 3), as for the third network element service as "secure communication" (see last connections disclosed in FIG. 3), as for the third network element would not recognize the third network element search as "secure communication" (see last connections) and the process of replacing an ACTIVE blade with STANDBY blade when the ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade with STANDBY blade when the ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTIVE blade sits [see FIGS.4 + 50 relacing an ACTI